IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

k,)	C/A No.: 1:17-2536-RMG-SVH
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	
)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
Ms. Clutch and Ofc. Daniels,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
		Plaintiff,) Plaintiff,) Daniels,)

Herbert Demond York ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, filed this action on September 20, 2017. [ECF No. 1]. On October 11, 2017, November 7, 2017, and November 21, 2017, the undersigned provided Plaintiff with opportunities to bring this matter into proper form for service of process. [ECF Nos. 7, 11, 16]. Plaintiff's response to the court's November 21, 2017 order was due by December 12, 2017, and the undersigned has been advised that no response has been received by the Clerk of Court.¹

As such, it appears to the court that Plaintiff wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends this action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Coil, 887 F.2d 1236, 1239 (4th Cir. 1989) (noting that the most frequent use of judicial notice is in noticing the content of court records); Fletcher v. Bryan, 175 F.2d 716, 717

(4th Cir. 1949).

¹ Although Plaintiff technically has an additional three mailing days, the court notes that mail sent to Plaintiff in another case before the court has been returned with a mark on the envelope indicating the recipient had been release without leaving an address. *See* ECF No. 66 in C/A No. 1:16-3971-RMG-SVH. A district court may take judicial notice of materials in the court's own files from prior proceedings. *See Colonial Penn Ins. Co. v.*

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

Shira V. Hadges

December 12, 2017 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

The parties are directed to note the important information in the attached "Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation."

Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Robin L. Blume, Clerk
United States District Court
901 Richland Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).